

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERS
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/980,712 | 12/05/2001 | Kazuo Hiraguchi | Q67023 | 7134 | | |
| 75 | 90 09/08/2003 | | | | | |
| Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| 2100 Pennsylva Washington, De | nnia Avenue N W C 20037 | | BUI, LUA | BUI, LUAN KIM | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3728 | 9 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003 | ł | | |
| | | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

| ħ | Application No. Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Advisory Action | 09/980,712 | HIRAGUCHI ET AL. | |
| Advisory Addion | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Luan K Bui | 3728 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 to 1.15 calculated. | of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The appropriate extending originally set in the final Office action | nsion 1; or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | ecause: | | |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying t | the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ling a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendme | ent |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: _ | | dered but does NOT place the | е |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | 4 1 |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | AVAILABLE |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | C |
| 10. Other: | | Unnl | |
| | | Luan K Bui Primary Examiner | -44 (24 |

Art Unit: 3728



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues are raised by the amendment to claims 1 and 5 i.e. in claim 1, lines 12-14 and in claim 5, line 7 which would require further consideration and/or search .

BEST AVAILABLE COPY